25X1

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Monday - 13 September 1971

1. Senator Milton Young called to inquire about the application of Mr. in whom the Senator had previously expressed considerable interest. He said was extremely anxious to obtain a position with the Agency, and I said when I last looked into the matter his processing was well underway, but I would take another look in the light of possible personnel freezes. Senator Young said he would like an intelligence roundup briefing sometime this week, tentatively at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, 16 September. 2. Called Joe Wolf, State Department, to request a change in the Department's draft reply to Senator Stuart Symington's 7 July request for information about U.S. arrangements for information and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf said my proposal would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back. 3. Upon learning that the Hanley Subcommittee on Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieve employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon	25X1A	25X1A
about the application of Mr	1. Senator Milton Young called to	o inquire
previously expressed considerable interest. He said was extremely anxious to obtain a position with the Agency, and I said when I last looked into the matter his processing was well underway, but I would take another look in the light of possible personnel freezes. Senator Young said he would like an intelligence roundup briefing sometime this week, tentatively at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, 16 September. 2. Called Joe Wolf, State Department, to request a change in the Department's draft reply to Senator Stuart Symington's 7 July request for information about U.S. arrangements for information and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf said my proposal would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back. 3. Upon learning that the Hanley Subcommittee on Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieve employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert would weigh in for a full exemption with Chairman Dulski, of the full Committee, wh	about the application of Mr. in whom the Sen	o inquire
extremely anxious to obtain a position with the Agency, and I said when I last looked into the matter his processing was well underway, but I would take another look in the light of possible personnel freezes. Senator Young said he would like an intelligence roundup briefing sometime this week, tentatively at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, 16 September. 2.	previously expressed considerable interest. He said	
take another look in the light of possible personnel freezes. Senator Young said he would like an intelligence roundup briefing sometime this week, tentatively at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, 16 September. 2.	extremely anxious to obtain a position with the Agency and Is	was
Senator Young said he would like an intelligence roundup briefing sometime this week, tentatively at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, 16 September. 2.	last looked into the matter his processing was well underway	but I would
Senator Young said he would like an intelligence roundup briefing sometime this week, tentatively at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, 16 September. 2.	take another look in the light of possible personnel freezes	but I would
Called Joe Wolf, State Department, to request a change in the Department's draft reply to Senator Stuart Symington's 7 July request for information about U.S. arrangements for information and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf said my proposal would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back. 3.	Senator Young said he would like an intelligence round	n buinfing
Called Joe Wolf, State Department, to request a change in the Department's draft reply to Senator Stuart Symington's 7 July request for information about U.S. arrangements for information and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf said my proposal would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back. 3.	sometime this week, tentatively at 2:00 n.m. on Thursday, 16	Someting
request a change in the Department's draft reply to Senator Stuart Symington's 7 July request for information about U.S. arrangements for information and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf said my proposal would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back. 3. Upon learning that the Hanley Subcommittee on Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieve employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert would weigh in for a full exemption with Chairman Dulski, of the full Committee, when the bill reaches	. The point of Indisday, 10	September.
7 July request for information about U.S. arrangements for information and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf said my proposal would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back. 3.	2. Called Joe Wolf State Donant	tmant to
and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf said my proposal would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back. 3.	request a change in the Department's draft reply to Senator Stu	ant Semain stands
would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back. 3. Upon learning that the Hanley Subcommittee on Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieve employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert would weigh in for a full exemption with Chairman Dulski, of the full Committee, when the bill reaches	7 July request for information about II.S. arrangements for inf	farmatica
would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back. 3. Upon learning that the Hanley Subcommittee on Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieve employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert would weigh in for a full exemption with Chairman Dulski, of the full Committee, when the bill reaches	and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf goi	d
Upon learning that the Hanley Subcommittee on Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieve employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert would weigh in for a full exemption with Chairman Dulski, of the full Committee, when the bill reaches	would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the	hart and
Jupon learning that the Hanley Subcommittee on Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieve employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert would weigh in for a full exemption with Chairman Dulski, of the full Committee, when the bill reaches	could do and he said he would check it out with his suppriors are	d all a
Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieve employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert would weigh in for a full exemption with Chairman Dulski, of the full Committee, when the bill reaches	back.	id call me
-	Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Comhearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncert other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert works.	nmittee, planned th Chairman e the fact that the ole exemptions, s provided aggrieved eral court. It is reveal a great in appeal, or he case by default. Or a complete tain as to how the think there was a , he thought we old weigh in for a

CONFIDENTIAL